## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

Jerry L Padilla Jr

**Judgment in a Criminal Case** 

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Case Number: 1:94CR00618-002JB

USM Number: 05873-051

Defense Attorney: Ray Twohig, Retained

THE DEFE	NDANT:			
The defenda	ant is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Number	Nature of Violation		Violation Ended	
1	MC- The defendant committed anothe	r federal, state, or local crime.	12/03/2009	
The defenda Reform Act	ant is sentenced as provided in pages 1 throu of 1984.	gh 3 of this judgment. The senten	ce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The def	fendant has not violated condition(s) and i	s discharged as to such violation(s	s).	
name, reside	ence, or mailing address until all fines, restit	ution, costs, and special assessme	r this district within 30 days of any change of nts imposed by this judgment are fully paid. It f material changes in economic circumstances	
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.			Date of Imposition of Judgment	
1967		/s/ James O. Browni	/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
Albuquerque, NM			Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
City and State of Defendant's Residence		Name and Title of Ju	dge	
		December 28, 2011		
		Date Signed		

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Jerry L Padilla Jr Case Number: 1:94CR00618-002JB

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **37 months**.

Said term shall run consecutively to the sentence imposed in 1:09CR3598-001 JB.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 37 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

×	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The Court recommends La Tuna Federal Correctional Institution, Anthony, Texas-New Mexico, if the Defendant's classification is low-risk; if it is not low-risk, the Court alternatively recommends to Phoenix Federal Correctional Institution Phoenix, Arizona, if eligible.
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:  at on  as notified by the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:  before 2 p.m. on  as notified by the United States Marshal  as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I hav	e executed this judgment as follows:
Defe:	adant delivered onto to with a certified copy of this judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL